



CSCI-344

Programming Language Concepts (Section 3)

Lecture 10

Continuations, μ Scheme Semantics

Instructor: Hossein Hojjat

September 21, 2016

Done:

- Functions as first-class citizens
- Higher-order functions for Lists

This session:

- A Taste of Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)
 - Yet another advantage of Higher-order functions
- μ Scheme Operational Semantics

Continuations

- Consider the absolute value function

```
(define abs (x) (if (< x 0) (* -1 x) x) )
```

Continuations

- Consider the absolute value function

```
(define abs (x) (if (< x 0) (* -1 x) x) )
```

- To evaluate `abs` for a given value we need:
 1. Finish evaluating the condition subexpression `(< x 0)`
 2. Then evaluate the appropriate branch `(* -1 x)` or `x`

Continuations

- Consider the absolute value function

```
(define abs (x) (if (< x 0) (* -1 x) x) )
```

- To evaluate `abs` for a given value we need:
 1. Finish evaluating the condition subexpression `(< x 0)`
 2. Then evaluate the appropriate branch `(* -1 x)` or `x`
- **Continuation** of the subexpression `(< x 0)` is the rest of computation that will come after evaluation of subexpression

Continuations

- Consider the absolute value function

```
(define abs (x) (if (< x 0) (* -1 x) x) )
```

- To evaluate `abs` for a given value we need:
 1. Finish evaluating the condition subexpression `(< x 0)`
 2. Then evaluate the appropriate branch `(* -1 x)` or `x`
- **Continuation** of the subexpression `(< x 0)` is the rest of computation that will come after evaluation of subexpression

```
(define abs (x)  
  ( lambda (y) (if y (* -1 x) x) ) (< x 0) )
```

- `(lambda (y) (if y (* -1 x) x))` is the continuation of `(< x 0)` in the function `abs`

Continuations

- At any point during evaluation, **continuation** is the part of the computation that still remains to be done
- A **continuation** is a function from the result of the subexpression to the final result of the whole computation

Continuations

- At any point during evaluation, **continuation** is the part of the computation that still remains to be done
- A **continuation** is a function from the result of the subexpression to the final result of the whole computation

Example

- Question: What is the continuation of `(+ 3 4)` in `(+ 1 (+ 2 (+ 3 4)))`?

Continuations

- At any point during evaluation, **continuation** is the part of the computation that still remains to be done
- A **continuation** is a function from the result of the subexpression to the final result of the whole computation

Example

- Question: What is the continuation of $(+ 3 4)$ in $(+ 1 (+ 2 (+ 3 4)))$?
- Answer: $(\text{lambda } (x) (+ 1 (+ 2 x)))$

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) (+ 3 (add2 m)))
```

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) (+ 3 (add2 m)))
```

- Consider the continuation of the expression (add2 m):

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) ((lambda (r) (+ 3 r)) (add2 m)))
```

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) (+ 3 (add2 m)))
```

- Consider the continuation of the expression (add2 m):

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) ((lambda (r) (+ 3 r)) (add2 m)))
```

- We can pass continuation as an extra parameter to add2
- Delegates the responsibility of calling continuation to function itself

```
(define add2 (n k) (k (+ n 2)))  
(define add5 (m) (add2 m (lambda (r) (+ 3 r))))
```

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) (+ 3 (add2 m)))
```

- Consider the continuation of the expression `(add2 m)`:

```
(define add2 (n) (+ n 2))  
(define add5 (m) ((lambda (r) (+ 3 r)) (add2 m)))
```

- We can pass continuation as an extra parameter to `add2`
- Delegates the responsibility of calling continuation to function itself

```
(define add2 (n k) (k (+ n 2)))  
(define add5 (m) (add2 m (lambda (r) (+ 3 r))))
```

- Function `add2` is said to be written in **continuation-passing style**

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

Continuation-passing style

A style of programming where every function is explicitly passed its continuation (i.e., another function to which it should send its result)

Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

Continuation-passing style

A style of programming where every function is explicitly passed its continuation (i.e., another function to which it should send its result)

Original `length` function:

```
(define length (xs)
  (if (null? xs) 0
      (+ 1 (length (cdr xs)))))
```

CPS variant of `length` function:

```
(define length (xs k)
  (if (null? xs) (k 0)
      (length (cdr xs) (lambda (x) (k (+ 1 x))))))
```

Why Continuations?

- Continuation-passing style makes the control structure of the program explicit
- This can be very useful in a variety of applications
- For example, you can pass several continuations to a function

```
(define divide (a b success fail)
  (if (= b 0)
      (fail 0)
      (success (/ a b))))
)
```

- CPS has similarities to the “goto” statement in some imperative languages
- We can use continuations to implement backtracking search
 - For example, see the SAT solver example in book

μ Scheme Operational Semantics

Key changes in μ Scheme compared to Impcore:

- New constructs: **let**, **lambda** and application
- New values: cons-cells and functions (closures)
- Impcore uses three environment to bind:
 1. functions,
 2. global variables,
 3. local variables

μ Scheme uses only a single environment ρ

- Environments of μ Scheme get copied, a binding in an environment never gets mutated
 - Environment maps names to mutable **locations**, not values

Locations

- Why can't we use values instead of locations in an environment?

Locations

- Why can't we use values instead of locations in an environment?

```
(define f (x)
  (lambda () (set x (+ x 1))))
(val g (f 5))
```

- Evaluation of $(f\ 5)$ creates a closure
 - Closure initially: $(\langle (\mathbf{lambda}\ ()\ (\mathbf{set}\ x\ (+\ x\ 1))) \rangle, \{x \mapsto 5\})$

Locations

- Why can't we use values instead of locations in an environment?

```
(define f (x)
  (lambda () (set x (+ x 1))))
(val g (f 5))
```

- Evaluation of $(f\ 5)$ creates a closure
 - Closure initially: $(\langle (\text{lambda } () (\text{set } x (+ x 1))) \rangle, \{x \mapsto 5\})$
- We need to change the environment in closure after each call of g

→ (g)

6

→ (g)

7

- Environment points to the location ℓ of x instead of its value

$(\langle (\text{lambda } () (\text{set } x (+ x 1))) \rangle, \{x \mapsto \ell\})$

New Constructs (Abstract Syntax)

Exp = LET (Namelist, Explist, Exp)
| LAMBDA (Namelist, Exp)
| APPLY (Exp, Explist)

New Judgment

New Constructs (Abstract Syntax)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Exp} = \text{LET} \quad (\text{Namelist}, \text{Explist}, \text{Exp}) \\ \quad | \text{LAMBDA} \quad (\text{Namelist}, \text{Exp}) \\ \quad | \text{APPLY} \quad (\text{Exp}, \text{Explist}) \end{array}$$

New Evaluation Judgment

$$\langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle$$

- ρ never changes
- ρ maps a name to a mutable location
- σ is the store (content of every location)

New Constructs (Abstract Syntax)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Exp} = \text{LET} \quad (\text{Namelist}, \text{Explist}, \text{Exp}) \\ \quad | \text{LAMBDA} \quad (\text{Namelist}, \text{Exp}) \\ \quad | \text{APPLY} \quad (\text{Exp}, \text{Explist}) \end{array}$$

New Evaluation Judgment

$$\langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle$$

- ρ never changes
- ρ maps a name to a mutable location
- σ is the store (content of every location)

Some intuitions for a compiler (interpreter) writer:

- ρ models the compiler's symbol table
- σ models the contents of registers and memory

- Looking up a variable doesn't change the store

$$\frac{x \in \text{dom}(\rho) \quad \rho(x) \in \text{dom}(\sigma)}{\langle \text{VAR}(x), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma(\rho(x)), \sigma \rangle} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{dom}(\rho) \quad \rho(x) = \ell \quad \langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \text{SET}(x, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \{ \ell \mapsto v \} \rangle} \text{ (Assign)}$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{dom}(\rho) \quad \rho(x) = \ell \quad \langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \text{SET}(x, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \{ \ell \mapsto v \} \rangle} \text{ (Assign)}$$

- What changes are captured in σ' ?
- What changes are captured in $\sigma' \{ \ell \mapsto v \}$?
- What would happen if we used σ instead of σ' in the conclusion?
- What would happen if we used a fresh ℓ ?
- Some other ℓ in the range of ρ ?

- Wrap the current environment along with the lambda expression in a closure

$$\frac{x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ all distinct}}{\langle \text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle \langle \text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e), \rho \rangle, \sigma \rangle} \text{ (MkClosure)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle (\text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e_c), \rho_c), \sigma_0 \rangle \\ \langle e_1, \rho, \sigma_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle e_n, \rho, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \sigma_n \rangle \\ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_n) \quad (\text{and all distinct}) \end{array}}{\langle e_c, \rho_c \{x_1 \mapsto \ell_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \ell_n\}, \sigma_n \{ \ell_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, \ell_n \mapsto v_n \} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle} \text{ (ApplyClosure)}$$
$$\langle \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle$$

μ Scheme Semantics: Function Application

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle (\text{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e_c), \rho_c), \sigma_0 \rangle \\ \langle e_1, \rho, \sigma_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle e_n, \rho, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \sigma_n \rangle \\ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_n) \quad (\text{and all distinct}) \\ \langle e_c, \rho_c \{x_1 \mapsto \ell_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \ell_n\}, \sigma_n \{ \ell_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, \ell_n \mapsto v_n \} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle \\ \hline \langle \text{APPLY}(e, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle \end{array} \quad (\text{ApplyClosure})$$

- What if we used σ instead of σ_0 in evaluation of e_1 ?
- What if we used σ instead of σ_0 in evaluation of arguments?
- What if we used ρ_c instead of ρ in evaluation of arguments?
- What if we did not require $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)$?
- What is the relationship between ρ and σ ?

$$\begin{array}{c} x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ all distinct} \\ \sigma_0 = \sigma \\ \langle e_1, \rho, \sigma_0 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \sigma_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle e_n, \rho, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_n, \sigma_n \rangle \\ l_1, \dots, l_n \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_n) \quad (\text{and all distinct}) \\ \langle e, \rho\{x_1 \mapsto l_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto l_n\}, \sigma_n\{x_1 \mapsto l_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto l_n\} \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle \\ \hline \langle \text{LET}(\langle x_1, e_1, \dots, x_n, e_n \rangle, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle \end{array} \quad (\text{ApplyClosure})$$

- Scheme: Lambda expressions, Closures, Currying, Algebraic Laws, Higher-order functions, Continuations

Next Lecture

- New Language, New Concepts!
- “Programming in Standard ML” (Robert Harper) (Chapters 1 - 13)
- PL:BPC Chapter 5